

Indicator Fiches of additional common output and result indicators for the ERDF for Interreg

Version 2, 26 June 2020

Based on Annex 1, Table 2 to the European Development Fund and Cohesion Fund Regulation (Council of the EU agreed version, COM(2018) 372, 14 June 2019)

Table of contents

I. Common output indicators for the ERDF for Interreg	2
RCO 81 – Participations in joint actions across borders	2
RCO 115 – Public events across borders jointly organised	4
RCO 82 – Participations in joint actions promoting gender equality, equal opportunities and social inclusion	5
RCO 83 – Strategies and action plans jointly developed	7
RCO 84 – Pilot actions developed jointly and implemented in projects	9
RCO 116 – Jointly developed solutions	11
RCO 85 – Participations in joint training schemes	12
RCO 117 – Solutions for legal or administrative obstacles across border identified	14
RCO 86 – Joint administrative or legal agreements signed	16
RCO 87 – Organisations cooperating across borders	17
RCO 118 – Organisations cooperating for the multi-level governance of macroregional strategies	18
RCO 90 – Projects for innovation networks across borders	19
RCO 119 – Projects supporting cooperation across borders to develop urban-rural linkages	20
II. Common result indicators for the ERDF for Interreg	21
RCR 79 – Joint strategies and action plans taken up by organisations	21
RCR 104 – Solutions taken up or up-scaled by organisations	22
RCR 81 – Completion of joint training schemes	23
RCR 82 – Legal or administrative obstacles across borders alleviated or resolved	24
RCR 83 – Persons covered by joint administrative or legal agreements signed	25
RCR 84 – Organisations cooperating across borders after project completion	26
RCR 85 – Participations in joint actions across borders after project completion	27

I. Common output indicators for the ERDF for Interreg

RCO 81 – Participations in joint actions across borders

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
1	Indicator code	RCO81
2	Indicator name	Participations in joint actions across borders
3	Measurement unit	Participation
4	Type of indicator	Output
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	>=0
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	all, when relevant
9	Specific objective	all, when relevant
10	Definition and concepts	<p>The indicator counts the number of participations in joint actions across borders implemented in the supported projects. Joint actions across borders could include, for instance, exchange activities or exchange visits organized with partners across borders. Participations (i.e. number of persons attending a joint action across borders - e.g. citizens, volunteers, students, pupils, public officials, etc.) are counted for each joint action organised on the basis of attendance lists or other relevant means of quantification. A joint action is considered as the action organised with the involvement of organizations from at least two participating countries (for programmes falling under strands A, B, C as defined in the Interreg Regulation) or is developed in the scope of programmes falling under strands D or E as defined in the Interreg Regulation.</p> <p>Participations in public events organized in supported projects should not be counted in this indicator.</p>
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system
12	Time measurement	Upon project finalisation
13	Aggregation issues	Potential overlap with RCO82 and RCO85. See the "Notes" section for further clarifications on how to avoid double counting and multiple reporting.
14	Reporting	<p>Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective</p> <p>Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).</p>
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	Not applicable

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
17	Notes	<p>Participations in public events should not be counted in RCO 81. The number of public events organized in supported projects should be reported by RCO 115. When reporting on RCO81, the participations in internal project meetings of the partners should not be counted. Values reported under this indicator shall not be reported under RCO82 or RCO85.</p> <p>Participations in joint training schemes for which the training organisers intend to record the confirmed completions / do intend to issue certificates of completion should not be counted in RCO81, but in RCO85.</p> <p>In order to avoid double counting of participations, the joint actions relevant for this indicator (i.e. RCO81) should not have the main topics linked to gender equality, equal opportunities and social exclusion, as the participations in these events are counted by RCO82.</p> <p>In terms of links with common result indicators, RCO 81 may be used together with RCR 85.</p>
18	Examples	

RCO 115 – Public events across borders jointly organised

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
1	Indicator code	RCO115
2	Indicator name	Public events across borders jointly organised
3	Measurement unit	Event
4	Type of indicator	output
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	≥ 0
7	Target 2029	> 0
8	Policy objective	all, when relevant
9	Specific objective	all, when relevant
10	Definition and concepts	<p>The indicator counts the number of events across border which were jointly organised by the partners in supported projects or by interregional programmes, and not the number of participations in public events. A public event across borders is understood as a joint action which has been advertised through relevant means, to the general public of the area covered by the programme.</p> <p>A joint action is considered as the action organised with the involvement of organizations from at least two participating countries (for programmes falling under strands A, B, C as defined in the Interreg Regulation) or is developed in the scope of programmes falling under strands D or E as defined in the Interreg Regulation.</p> <p>A public event across borders should have participants from at least two countries of the programme area.</p>
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system
12	Time measurement	Upon project finalisation
13	Aggregation issues	-
14	Reporting	<p>Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective</p> <p>Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).</p>
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	Not applicable
17	Notes	The participation of the project staff in public events is not sufficient for ensuring the condition of participants from at least two countries of the programme area.
18	Examples	

RCO 82 - Participations in joint actions promoting gender equality, equal opportunities and social inclusion

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
1	Indicator code	RCO82
2	Indicator name	Participations in joint actions promoting gender equality, equal opportunities and social inclusion
3	Measurement unit	Participation
4	Type of indicator	output
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	≥ 0
7	Target 2029	> 0
8	Policy objective	all, when relevant
9	Specific objective	all, when relevant
10	Definition and concepts	<p>The indicator counts the number of participations in joint activities principally addressing horizontal principles (gender equality, equal opportunities and social inclusion) implemented in the supported projects. Joint actions could include, for instance, exchange activities or exchange visits. Participations (i.e. number of persons attending a joint action) are counted for each joint activity organised on the basis of attendance lists or other relevant means of quantification.</p> <p>A joint action is considered as the action organised with the involvement of organizations from at least two participating countries (for programmes falling under strands A, B, C as defined in the Interreg Regulation) or is developed in the scope of programmes falling under strands D or E as defined in the Interreg Regulation. Participations in public events promoting gender equality, equal opportunities and social inclusion, organized in supported projects, should not be counted in this indicator.</p>
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system
12	Time measurement	Upon project finalisation
13	Aggregation issues	Exclude overlap with RCO81 and RCO85. See the "Notes" section for further clarifications on how to avoid double counting and multiple reporting.
14	Reporting	<p>Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective</p> <p>Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).</p>
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	Not applicable

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
17	Notes	<p>Values reported under this indicator shall <u>not</u> be reported under RCO81 or RCO85.</p> <p>Participations reported under RCO82 should be based only on joint actions which have the main topics focused on gender equality, equal opportunities and social exclusion.</p> <p>Participations in trainings in the field of promoting gender equality, equal opportunities and social inclusion, should be counted in RCO85.</p> <p>Participations in public events organized on the topics of promoting gender equality, equal opportunities and social inclusion should not be counted in RCO 82.</p> <p>The public events organized on the topics of promoting gender equality, equal opportunities and social inclusion shall be reported as number of events (not participations) in RCO 115.</p> <p>Participation in all other joint actions only marginally targeting the topics of gender equality, equal opportunities and social inclusion, should be counted in RCO81.</p> <p>In terms of links with common result indicators, RCO 82 may be used together with RCR 85.</p>
18	Examples	

RCO 83 – Strategies and action plans jointly developed

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
1	Indicator code	RCO83
2	Indicator name	Strategies and action plans jointly developed
3	Measurement unit	Strategy/action plan
4	Type of indicator	output
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	≥ 0
7	Target 2029	> 0
8	Policy objective	all, when relevant
9	Specific objective	all, when relevant
10	Definition and concepts	<p>The indicator counts the number of joint strategies or action plans developed by supported projects. A jointly developed strategy aims at establishing a targeted way to achieve a goal oriented process in a specific domain. An action plan translates an existing jointly developed strategy into actions.</p> <p>Jointly developed strategy or action plan implies the involvement of organizations from at least two participating countries (for programmes falling under strands A, B, C as defined in the Interreg Regulation) in the drafting process of the strategy or action plan or is developed in the scope of programmes falling under strands D or E as defined in the Interreg Regulation.</p>
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system
12	Time measurement	Upon project finalisation
13	Aggregation issues	<p>If a strategy or action plan covers several specific objectives, it should be counted only for the dominant specific objective.</p> <p>For a project aiming to implement specific jointly developed strategies or action plans, previously developed, a different output indicator should be used to report on the implementation of action plans, such as, for example, RCO84.</p> <p>If the respective joint strategy or action plan was also developed in the scope of the project or if the solution was developed based on the strategy/action plan, the indicator RCO83 may be used together with RCO 84 and/or RCO 116.</p>
14	Reporting	<p>Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective</p> <p>Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).</p>
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	Not applicable

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
17	Notes	<p>In terms of links with common result indicators, RCO 83 may be used together with RCR 79.</p> <p>In case RCO 83 is used together with RCO 84 and/or RCO 116, the common result indicators which may be used are RCR 79 and/or RCR 104.</p>
18	Examples	

RCO 84 - Pilot actions developed jointly and implemented in projects

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
1	Indicator code	RCO84
2	Indicator name	Pilot actions developed jointly and implemented in projects
3	Measurement unit	Pilot action
4	Type of indicator	output
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	>=0
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	all, when relevant
9	Specific objective	all, when relevant
10	Definition and concepts	<p>The indicator counts the pilot actions developed jointly and implemented by supported projects. The scope of a jointly developed pilot action could be to test procedures, new instruments, tools, experimentation or the transfer of practices. In order to be counted by this indicator,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the pilot action needs not only to be developed, but also implemented within the project and - the implementation of the pilot action should be finalised by the end of the project. <p>Jointly developed pilot action implies the involvement of organizations from at least two participating countries (for programmes falling under strands A, B, C as defined in the Interreg Regulation) in its implementation or is implemented in the scope of programmes falling under strands D or E as defined in the Interreg Regulation.</p>
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system
12	Time measurement	Upon project finalisation
13	Aggregation issues	Should a project foresee over the course of its implementation both the jointly development of strategies or action plans and their implementation through jointly developed pilot actions or jointly developed solutions, indicators RCO84 may be used together with RCO 83 and/or RCO 116 be used.
14	Reporting	<p>Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective</p> <p>Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).</p>
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	Not applicable

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
17	Notes	<p>This indicator can also be used in the framework of strand 4 and 5 as defined by the Interreg Regulation.</p> <p>In case RCO 84 is used together with RCO 83 and/or RCO 116, the common result indicators which may be used are RCR 79 and/or RCR 104.</p>
18	Examples	

RCO 116 – Jointly developed solutions

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
1	Indicator code	RCO116
2	Indicator name	Jointly developed solutions
3	Measurement unit	Solution
4	Type of indicator	output
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	≥ 0
7	Target 2029	> 0
8	Policy objective	all, when relevant
9	Specific objective	all, when relevant
10	Definition and concepts	<p>The indicator counts the number of jointly developed solutions from joint pilot actions implemented by supported projects. In order to be counted in the indicator, an identified solution should include indications of the actions needed for it to be taken up or to be upscaled.</p> <p>A jointly developed solution implies the involvement of organizations from at least two participating countries (for programmes falling under strands A, B, C as defined in the Interreg Regulation) in the drafting and design process of the solution or is developed in the scope of programmes within strands D or E as defined in the Interreg Regulation.</p>
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system
12	Time measurement	Upon project finalisation
13	Aggregation issues	Exclude overlap with RCO117. See notes for details on how to avoid double counting.
14	Reporting	<p>Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective</p> <p>Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).</p>
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	Not applicable
17	Notes	<p>In order to avoid double counting, the solutions relevant for this indicator (i.e. RCO116) should not have the main topics linked to administrative or legal frameworks, as these are counted in indicator RCO117.</p> <p>For all other topics of the solutions, the indicator used for counting is RCO116.</p> <p>RCO116 may be used together with RCO 83 and/or RCO84.</p> <p>In case RCO 116 is used together with RCO 83 and/or RCO 84, the common result indicators which may be used are RCR 79 and/or RCR 104.</p>
18	Examples	

RCO 85 – Participations in joint training schemes

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
1	Indicator code	RCO85
2	Indicator name	Participations in joint training schemes
3	Measurement unit	Participation
4	Type of indicator	Output
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	≥ 0
7	Target 2029	> 0
8	Policy objective	all, when relevant
9	Specific objective	all, when relevant
10	Definition and concepts	<p>The indicator counts the number of participations in joint training schemes. Participations in a joint training schemes are intended to be counted as registered participants who started the training.</p> <p>A joint training scheme implies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the involvement of organizations from at least two participating countries (for programmes falling under strands A, B, C as defined in the Interreg Regulation) in the organisation of the training or - is developed in the scope of programmes falling under strand D or E as defined in the Interreg Regulation. <p>A joint training scheme requires building knowledge in a certain topic and involves the training of participants over several sessions. A one-off meeting/event/internal session where information is disseminated should not be considered as a training scheme.</p>
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system
12	Time measurement	Upon project finalisation
13	Aggregation issues	<p>Potential overlap in reporting with RCO 81. See notes for further clarification on reporting.</p> <p>Double counting of participants in more than one training schemes organised by the same project should be excluded.</p>
14	Reporting	<p>Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective</p> <p>Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).</p>
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	Not applicable

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
17	Notes	<p>Values reported under this indicator shall not be reported under RCO81 or RCO82.</p> <p>RCO 85 is not counting the number of participants who finalised the joint training schemes - this is done through RCR 81.</p> <p>Joint trainings for which the training organisers <u>do not</u> intend to record the confirmed completions / <u>do not</u> intend to issue certificates of completion should be considered under the generic term of "joint actions" - the participations in this case should be counted in RCO81, not in RCO85.</p> <p>In terms of links with common result indicators, RCO 85 is intended to be used together with RCR 81 and may be used together with RCR 85.</p>
18	Examples	

RCO 117 – Solutions for legal or administrative obstacles across border identified

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
1	Indicator code	RCO117
2	Indicator name	Solutions for legal or administrative obstacles across border identified
3	Measurement unit	Solution
4	Type of indicator	output
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	≥ 0
7	Target 2029	> 0
8	Policy objective	all, when relevant
9	Specific objective	all, when relevant
10	Definition and concepts	<p>Legal or administrative obstacles refer to rules, laws or administrative procedures which obstruct everyday life and the development of those border regions eligible under ETC goal. The indicator counts the number of solutions identified for resolving/alleviating such legal or administrative obstacles across borders.</p> <p>Legal or administrative obstacles are in general identified on the basis of an in-depth analysis of the territorial context, which provides meaningful inputs on the functioning legal and administrative frameworks. The identified solution(s) should be customised according to the specificities of each territory and cooperation context. In order to be counted in the indicator, an identified solution should be accompanied by indications of possible actions to be taken for its potential implementation.</p>
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system
12	Time measurement	Upon project finalisation
13	Aggregation issues	Exclude overlap with RCO116. See notes for details on how to avoid double counting.
14	Reporting	<p>Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective</p> <p>Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).</p>
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	Not applicable

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
17	Notes	<p>In order to avoid double counting, the solutions relevant for this indicator (i.e. RCO117) should have the main topics linked to administrative or legal frameworks.</p> <p>For all other jointly developed solutions, the indicator to be used for counting is RCO116.</p> <p>In terms of links with common result indicators, RCO 117 may be used together with RCR 82.</p>
18	Examples	

RCO 86 - Joint administrative or legal agreements signed

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
1	Indicator code	RCO86
2	Indicator name	Joint administrative or legal agreements signed
3	Measurement unit	Legal or administrative agreement
4	Type of indicator	output
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	≥ 0
7	Target 2029	> 0
8	Policy objective	all, when relevant
9	Specific objective	all, when relevant
10	Definition and concepts	The indicator counts the joint administrative or legal agreements signed related to opportunities for cooperation across borders or targeted to resolving/alleviating legal or administrative obstacles. The adoption / signature of an agreement counted should be accomplished by the time of project completion.
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system
12	Time measurement	Upon project finalisation
13	Aggregation issues	A joint administrative or legal agreement can be considered as an identified solution and counted also in indicator RCO117, only if the concept and definition of RCO117 are applicable and relevant for the phases leading up to the drafting and signature of the joint administrative or legal agreement.
14	Reporting	Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	Not applicable
17	Notes	A joint administrative or legal agreement can be considered as an identified solution and counted also in indicator RCO117, only if the concept and definition of RCO117 are applicable and relevant for the joint administrative or legal agreement signed. In terms of links with common result indicators, RCO 86 is intended to be used together with RCR 83.
18	Examples	

RCO 87 - Organisations cooperating across borders

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
1	Indicator code	RCO87
2	Indicator name	Organisations cooperating across borders
3	Measurement unit	Organisation
4	Type of indicator	output
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	≥ 0
7	Target 2029	> 0
8	Policy objective	all, when relevant
9	Specific objective	all, when relevant
10	Definition and concepts	The indicator counts the organisations cooperating formally in supported projects. The organisations counted in this indicator are the legal entities including project partners and associated organizations, as mentioned in the financing agreement of the application. Organisations cooperating formally in small projects (for instance under a Small Project Fund) are also counted.
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system
12	Time measurement	Upon project finalisation
13	Aggregation issues	At programme level, double counting should be avoided at the level of project partners and associated organizations.
14	Reporting	Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	Not applicable
17	Notes	RCO 87 may be used together with RCO 118, where relevant. In terms of links with common result indicators, RCO 87 is intended be used together with RCR 84.
18	Examples	

RCO 118 - Organisations cooperating for the multi-level governance of macroregional strategies

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
1	Indicator code	RCO118
2	Indicator name	Organisations cooperating for the multi-level governance of macroregional strategies
3	Measurement unit	Organisation
4	Type of indicator	output
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	>=0
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	all, when relevant
9	Specific objective	all, when relevant
10	Definition and concepts	<p>The indicator counts the number of legal entities supported by the programme, listed in the financing agreements, and also contributing to the multi-level governance of macroregional strategies.</p> <p>As a concept, the multi-level governance refers to collective decision making processes where authority and influence are shared between stakeholders operating at multiple levels of governance and in different policy sectors. This concept may be customised and understood according to the context of each macroregional strategy.</p>
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system
12	Time measurement	Upon project finalisation
13	Aggregation issues	At programme level, double counting should be avoided at the level of project partners and associated organizations.
14	Reporting	<p>Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective</p> <p>Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).</p>
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	Not applicable
17	Notes	<p>RCO118 is intended to be used together with and as a subset of RCO87.</p> <p>RCO118 is especially relevant and is expected to be used mostly in the context of strand B of Interreg.</p>
18	Examples	

RCO 90 - Projects for innovation networks across borders

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
1	Indicator code	RCO90
2	Indicator name	Projects for innovation networks across borders
3	Measurement unit	Project
4	Type of indicator	output
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	≥ 0
7	Target 2029	> 0
8	Policy objective	all, when relevant
9	Specific objective	all, when relevant
10	Definition and concepts	The indicator counts the number of projects creating, continuing or extending networks for enterprise innovation across borders. In order for the projects to be counted in the indicator, the created, continued or extended network(s) should be operational at the time of the project finalisation.
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system
12	Time measurement	Upon project finalisation
13	Aggregation issues	
14	Reporting	Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	Not applicable
17	Notes	
18	Examples	

RCO 119 - Projects supporting cooperation across borders to develop urban-rural linkages

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
1	Indicator code	RCO119
2	Indicator name	Projects supporting cooperation across borders to develop urban-rural linkages
3	Measurement unit	Project
4	Type of indicator	output
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	>=0
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	all, when relevant
9	Specific objective	all, when relevant
10	Definition and concepts	<p>The indicator counts the number of projects which aim, as a primary objective, to enhance the cooperation across borders between urban and rural areas.</p> <p>The urban-rural linkages developed within the project should enable a stronger urban-rural cooperation and partnership for implementing initiatives in various key relevant policy areas.</p>
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system
12	Time measurement	Upon project finalisation
13	Aggregation issues	
14	Reporting	<p>Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective</p> <p>Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).</p>
15	References	https://ec.europa.eu/regional_policy/en/policy/what/territorial-cohesion/urban-rural-linkages/
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	Not applicable
17	Notes	Cooperation between urban-rural areas on the same side of the border can be reported by this indicator, as long as organizations from at least two participating countries are involved in delivering the cooperation activities.
18	Examples	This indicator could be used for example in a cooperation project (with partners from at least two participant countries) in a twin city surrounded by rural areas.

II. Common result indicators for the ERDF for Interreg

RCR 79 - Joint strategies and action plans taken up by organisations

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
1	Indicator code	RCR79
2	Indicator name	Joint strategies and action plans taken up by organisations
3	Measurement unit	Joint strategy / action plan
4	Type of indicator	result
5	Baseline	0 or >0
6	Milestone 2024	Not applicable
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	all, when relevant
9	Specific objective	all, when relevant
10	Definition and concepts	The indicator counts the number of joint strategies and action plans (not individual actions) adopted and implemented by organisations during or after the project completion. At the time of reporting this indicator, the implementation of the joint strategy or action plan need not to be completed but effectively started. The organisations involved in take-up may or may not be direct participants in the supported project. It is not necessary that all actions identified are taken-up for a strategy/action plan to be counted in this context. The value report should be equal to or less than the value for "RCO83 Strategies and action plans jointly developed".
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system / Survey
12	Time measurement	Up to one year after project completion
13	Aggregation issues	If a strategy or action plan covers several specific objectives, it should be counted only for the dominant specific objective.
14	Reporting	Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 6).
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	Not applicable
17	Notes	If a strategy or action plan covers several specific objectives, it should be counted only for the dominant specific objective. In terms of links with common output indicator, RCR 79 may be used together with RCO 83 and/or RCO 84 and/or RCO 116.
18	Examples	

RCR 104 – Solutions taken up or up-scaled by organisations

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
1	Indicator code	RCR104
2	Indicator name	Solutions taken up or up-scaled by organisations
3	Measurement unit	Solution
4	Type of indicator	result
5	Baseline	0 or >0
6	Milestone 2024	Not applicable
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	all, when relevant
9	Specific objective	all, when relevant
10	Definition and concepts	The indicator counts the number of solutions, other than legal or administrative solutions, that are developed by supported projects and are taken up or upscaled during the implementation of the project or within one year after project completion. The organisation adopting the solutions developed by the project may or may not be a participant in the project. The uptake / up-scaling should be documented by the adopting organisations in, for instance, strategies, action plans etc.
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system / Survey
12	Time measurement	During project implementation / up to one year after project completion
13	Aggregation issues	
14	Reporting	Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 6).
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	Not applicable
17	Notes	In terms of links with common output indicator, RCR 104 may be used together with RCO 116 and/or RCO 83 and/or RCO 84.
18	Examples	

RCR 81 – Completion of joint training schemes

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
1	Indicator code	RCR81
2	Indicator name	Completion of joint training schemes
3	Measurement unit	Participants
4	Type of indicator	result
5	Baseline	0 or >0
6	Milestone 2024	Not applicable
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	all, when relevant
9	Specific objective	all, when relevant
10	Definition and concepts	The indicator counts the number of participants completing the joint trainings schemes organised by supported projects. Completion should be documented by the training organisers either through a record of the confirmed completions or by issuing certificates of completion of the training. The certificates of completion do not necessarily require a previous national certification process of the issuing organisation.
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system
12	Time measurement	At project completion
13	Aggregation issues	Double counting of participants in more than one training schemes organised by the same project should be excluded.
14	Reporting	Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 6).
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	Not applicable
17	Notes	In terms of links with common output indicators, indicator RCR 81 is intended to be used together with RCO 85. The value reported for RCR81 can be equal to or lower than the value of RCO85 (for example in case some participants withdraw after the training started or in case not not all participants receive the final certification), but not higher.
18	Examples	

RCR 82 – Legal or administrative obstacles across borders alleviated or resolved

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
1	Indicator code	RCR82
2	Indicator name	Legal or administrative obstacles across borders alleviated or resolved
3	Measurement unit	Legal or administrative obstacle
4	Type of indicator	result
5	Baseline	0 or >0
6	Milestone 2024	Not applicable
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	all, when relevant
9	Specific objective	all, when relevant
10	Definition and concepts	Legal or administrative obstacles refer to rules, laws or administrative procedures which obstruct everyday life and the development of border regions, eligible under ETC goal. The indicator counts the number of legal or administrative obstacles that are alleviated or resolved based on solutions identified through supported projects. The adoption and implementation of the respective solutions should take place during the implementation of the project or within one year after the project completion.
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system / Survey
12	Time measurement	During project implementation / up to one year after project completion
13	Aggregation issues	
14	Reporting	Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 6).
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	Not applicable
17	Notes	In terms of links with common output indicator, RCR 82 may be used together with RCO 117.
18	Examples	

RCR 83 – Persons covered by joint administrative or legal agreements signed

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
1	Indicator code	RCR83
2	Indicator name	Persons covered by joint administrative or legal agreements signed
3	Measurement unit	Person
4	Type of indicator	result
5	Baseline	0 or >0
6	Milestone 2024	Not applicable
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	all, when relevant
9	Specific objective	all, when relevant
10	Definition and concepts	The indicator counts the number of persons in the programme area who could potentially benefit from the opportunities/ services established by the joint administrative or legal agreements signed in the context of the supported projects. In line with the corresponding output indicator RCO86, the joint agreement signed should refer to identified obstacles across borders. For a given NUTS3 region, the number of potential persons reported under this indicator cannot be higher than the population of the programme region.
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system
12	Time measurement	At project completion
13	Aggregation issues	The value of the indicator at programme level cannot be higher than the total population of the territory addressed by the programme. The MA should cross-check the territory covered by the projects reporting this indicator. In case several projects are reporting broadly on the same territory and corresponding population, the MA should cap the cumulated value of the indicator value reported in aggregate from those projects to the population of the territory concerned.
14	Reporting	Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 6).
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	Not applicable
17	Notes	In terms of links with common output indicators, indicator RCR 83 is intended to be used together with RCO 86.
18	Examples	

RCR 84 - Organisations cooperating across borders after project completion

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
1	Indicator code	RCR84
2	Indicator name	Organisations cooperating across borders after project completion
3	Measurement unit	Organisation
4	Type of indicator	result
5	Baseline	0 or >0
6	Milestone 2024	Not applicable
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	all, when relevant
9	Specific objective	all, when relevant
10	Definition and concepts	The indicator counts the organisations cooperating across borders after the completion of the supported projects. The organisations are legal entities involved in project implementation, counted within RCO87. The cooperation concept should be interpreted as having a statement that the entities have a formal agreement to continue cooperation, after the end of the supported project. The cooperation agreements may be established during the implementation of the project or within one year after the project completion. The sustained cooperation does not have to cover the same topic as addressed by the completed project.
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system / Survey
12	Time measurement	During project implementation / up to one year after project completion
13	Aggregation issues	At programme level, double counting should be avoided at the level of project partners and associated organizations.
14	Reporting	Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 6).
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	Not applicable
17	Notes	In terms of links with common output indicator, RCR 84 may be used together with RCO 87. The value reported for RCR 84 can be equal to or lower than the value of RCO 87, but not higher.
18	Examples	

RCR 85 – Participations in joint actions across borders after project completion

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
1	Indicator code	RCR85
2	Indicator name	Participations in joint actions across borders after project completion
3	Measurement unit	Participation
4	Type of indicator	result
5	Baseline	0 or >0
6	Milestone 2024	Not applicable
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	all, when relevant
9	Specific objective	all, when relevant
10	Definition and concepts	<p>The indicator counts the number of participations in joint actions across borders after the completion of the project, organised by all or some of the former partners or associated organisations within the project, as a continuation of cooperation. Joint actions across borders could include, for instance, exchange activities or exchange visits organized with participants from at least two countries of the programme area. Participations (i.e. number of persons attending a joint action across borders) are counted for each joint action organised on the basis of attendance lists or other relevant means of quantification.</p> <p>For the definition of this indicator, the joint action includes training schemes.</p>
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system / Survey
12	Time measurement	Up to one year after project completion
13	Aggregation issues	
14	Reporting	<p>Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective</p> <p>Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 6).</p>
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	Not applicable
17	Notes	In terms of links with common output indicator, RCR 85 may be used together with RCO 81 and/or RCO 82 and/or RCO 85.
18	Examples	